

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,879	· 08/20/2003	Peter Mark Grehlinger	TA-612-US	5213
	7590 01/28/2008 · INGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP		EXAM	INER
875 15th Street, NW	, NW	Peter Mark Grehlinger TA-612-US  EXAMINER VU, KIEU D  ART UNIT PA  2173	VU, KIEU D	
Washington, D	C 20005		PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		01/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary    Examiner			
Examiner    Kieu D. Vu		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Kieu D. Vu   2173		10/643,879	GREHLINGER ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Six (9 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stabilitory period will apply and will expire SiX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stabilitory period will apply and will expire SiX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stabilitory period will apply and will expire SiX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  - 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2007.</u> - 2a) □ This action is FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is non-final.  - 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - 4) □ Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) □ Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4-29</u> is/are rejected.  - 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 8) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 9) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  - 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on <u>is/are: a)</u> accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.39(g). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled stars IXI, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply willy statute, cause the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06 November 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for		Kieu D. Vu	2173
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Find the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06 November 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are above to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some		appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2007</u> .  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4-29</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	VHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum status or the maximum status of the state of the stat	COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMMUNICATION COMM	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ıs		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0€</u>	6 November 2007.	
Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are ejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121.  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	ı) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.	
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	osition of Claims		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-29</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	drawn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:		W I P	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ication Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		·	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	3 Oπice Action or form P1O-152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment/s\	oment(c)		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	• •	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		· _	

10/643,879 \_ Art Unit: 2173

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 11/06/07.
- Claims 1-2 and 4-29 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 4-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dove et al (hereinafter "Dove", US 2003/0035004), Petruk et al (hereinafter "Petruk", US 2002/0196283) and Franck ("Integration of the Rheometer into Today's Formulation Laboratories", June 2002)

Regarding claims 1, 13, 18, 23, 26, 28-29, Dove teaches a method for dynamically controlling operation of a device, comprising: creating a program on a programming interface for executing a test upon a sample in a device by receiving user selections of a plurality of nodes and connections of each node to another node according to directional connection indicators, wherein nodes indicate steps for performing a test upon a sample or configuring a device for performing a test upon a sample [0018]; creating scripts for generating a sequence of instructions to the device, wherein the scripts include instructions for performing steps indicated by each of the selected nodes and in accordance with the directional connection indicators ([0022], [0097]); downloading low-level instructions from the scripts for execution in the device;

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

and instructing systems in the device to perform the downloaded instructions ([0077], [0097]). Dove does not explicitly teach of identifying parameters associated with each selected node and receiving respective parameter values from a user. In the same field of Dove's invention, Petruk teaches a method for creating a graphical program ([0015] [0127]) wherein a node is configured by identifying parameters associated with each selected node and receiving respective parameter values from a user ([0131], [0139], [0151], [0163], [0187])). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Petruk's teaching into Dove's test interface with the motivation being to enable the user to vary the values or parameters for testing steps thus enhance the flexibility of Dove's test interface. Dove in view of Petruk teaches wherein the scripts are generated in accordance with the selected parameter value (Dove, [0022]) (Petruk, [0151]) and further teaches that the device can be used in testing and measuring (Dove, [0006]) (Petruk, [0064]) but does not specifically teach that the device is a rheometer. Franck teaches integration of the rheometer into formulation laboratories (pages 29-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Franck's rheometer integration into Dove's test interface with the motivation being to enhance the usefulness and application of Dove's invention.

Regarding claim 2, Dove teaches wherein the programming interface is a graphical user interface enabling a user to select pre-existing icons or create icons representative of nodes (0018]).

Regarding claim 4, Dove, as modified, teaches a step of generating forms for

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

prompting a user to enter, confirm, or modify parameter values (Dove [0020]) wherein each parameter corresponds to a field in a given form (Petruk, [0151] [0187]).

Regarding claims 5, 20, Dove teaches wherein scripts are created in accordance with information retrieved from a node class library, which tracks parameters associated with nodes and connections between nodes ([0020], [0097].

Regarding claim 6, Dove teaches wherein certain nodes are dynamically created, further comprising a step of determining parameters to be identified for each dynamically created node ([0011], [0012], [0020]).

Regarding claims 7, 21, Dove teaches wherein a sequence engine in the rheometer receives the scripts for executing the instructions independently of the programming interface ([0097]).

Regarding claims 8, 22, Dove teaches wherein the scripts are downloaded to the rheometer via a TCP/IP connection for operation without further intervention from the programming interface [0097]).

Regarding claims 9, 16, Dove teaches wherein certain selected nodes are representative of a plurality of other nodes connected by directional connection indicators for grouping instructions associated with a test to be performed in the rheometer ([0012], [0018]).

Regarding claims 10, 17, 19, Dove teaches wherein the programming interface includes a chart for enabling a user to graphically select and drag icons from a palette ([0020], [0057]).

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claim 11, Dove does not teach wherein the programming interface includes a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes. An Office Notice is taken that a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes is known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes in Dove with the motivation being to provide the user with a clear and better view of the programming interface.

Regarding claim 12, Dove teaches the programming interface includes a chart for enabling a user to graphically select and drag icons from a palette ([0020], [0057[) but Dove does not teach wherein the programming interface includes a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes. An Office Notice is taken that a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes is known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes in Dove with the motivation being to provide the user with a clear and better view of the programming interface.

Regarding claim 14, Dove teaches wherein scripts are created for generating a sequence of instructions to the rheometer indicated by each of the selected nodes and in accordance with the directional connection indicators and data flow indicators (0018).

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claim 15, Dove teaches wherein low-level instructions are downloaded from the scripts for instructing drivers in the rheometer for performing the downloaded instructions ([0022], [0077]).

Regarding claim 24, Dove teaches wherein the output interface additionally downloads instructions to an analysis and presentation tool for creating reports for display to a user ([0073], [0076], [0077]).

Regarding claim 25, Dove teaches wherein the programming interface operates on a graphical user interface for enabling selection of nodes and connections of nodes without requiring a user to enter programming code ([0011]).

Regarding claim 27, Dove teaches comprising the step of encapsulating a sequence of steps-for performing a test in-a rheometer to be-represented as a single icon ([0077]).

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/06/07 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4057.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at 571-272-4048.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173 Page 7

and / or:

571-273-4057 (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu D. Vu

**Primary Examiner** 

Krew Ramber